# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSE LUIS CASERO SANCHEZ,

Defendant,

and

JOSE LUIS CASERO ABELLAN, and MARIA ISABEL SANCHEZ GONZALEZ,

Relief Defendants.

Civil Action No. 21-CV-8085

## ORDER FREEZING ASSETS AND GRANTING OTHER RELIEF

WHEREAS, the Court has considered the Application for an Order to Show Cause,
Temporary Restraining Order, Order Freezing Assets, and Granting Other Relief filed by
plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"), as well as the Complaint, the
Commission's Memorandum of Law in support of its Application and the Declaration of John
Rymas dated September 29, 2021 (with attached exhibits), and

WHEREAS, on September 29 2021, this Court granted the Commission's Application for an Order to Show Cause, Temporary Restraining Order, Order Freezing Assets, and Granting Other Relief (the "Temporary Restraining Order") (ECF No. 20), finding that the Commission made a proper showing, as required by Section 20(b) and 20(d)(l) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") and Section 21(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") for the issuance of a temporary restraining order to freeze Defendants' assets held in the United

States, as well as to prohibit Defendants from destroying, altering, or concealing records of any kind, and for the Commission to effect service by alternative means (e-mail) under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(f); and

WHEREAS, the Commission subsequently served Defendants by alternative means (e-mail) with the Order to Show Cause, as well as the Summons, the Complaint, and all materials filed in support of the Order to Show Cause, in compliance with both this Court's Temporary Restraining Order and the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(f); and

WHEREAS, Defendants have not appeared, and have not responded to the Commission's Application to Show Cause; and

WHEREAS, the Court finds that the Commission has made a proper *prima facie* showing that: (1) Defendant Sanchez has engaged in the violations alleged in the Complaint; (2) there is a reasonable likelihood the Commission will prevail at trial; (3) unless restrained by this Order, Defendants may dissipate, conceal or transfer assets which could be subject to an order of disgorgement or to pay a civil monetary penalty in this action; and (4) entry of an order freezing assets is necessary to preserve the status quo; and

WHEREAS, this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over defendants Sanchez, Abellan, and Gonzalez, and venue properly lies in this District; and

WHEREAS, the Court is authorized to grant the relief set forth in this Order pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, its general equitable authority and Section 21(d)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(5)].

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Commission's Application for an Order Freezing Assets and Other Relief is **GRANTED**.

II.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that, pending further order of this Court, the asset freeze imposed by paragraph I of the Temporary Restraining Order shall continue in full force and effect, and all such funds and other assets shall remain frozen.

#### Ш.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Charles Schwab & Co. ("Schwab") and each of its financial and brokerage institutions and each of their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons or entities in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise (including by fax, email, or overnight delivery service) and each of them, shall hold and retain all assets and funds of any of the Defendants presently held by them, under their direct or indirect control or over which they exercise actual or apparent investment or other authority, including, but not limited to, the account held at Charles Schwab & Co. in the name of Jose Luis Casero Abellan with an account number ending in 1309 (the "Schwab Account").

#### IV.

each of its financial and brokerage institutions and each of their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons or entities in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise (including by fax, email, or overnight delivery service) and each of them, shall hold and retain all assets and funds of any of the Defendants presently held by them, under their direct or indirect control or over which they exercise actual or apparent investment or other authority, including, but not limited to, the account held at Tastyworks in the name of Maria Isabel Sanchez Gonzalez with an account number ending in 5619 (the "Tastyworks Account").

V.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that all banks, brokerage firms, and other financial institutions (including but not limited to Charles Schwab and Tastyworks) and other persons or entities that receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise (including by fax, email, or overnight delivery service) holding any funds or other assets in the name of Defendants, or in the name of any person or entity for their benefit, subject to their control, over which they exercise actual or apparent authority, or in which they have a direct or indirect beneficial interest, in whatever form such funds or other assets may presently exist and wherever located, shall continue to hold and retain such funds or other assets within their control and shall prohibit the withdrawal, removal, sale, payment, transfer, dissipation, assignment, pledge, alienation, encumbrance, diminution in value, or other disposal of any such funds or other assets (including, but not limited to, charges on a credit card or draws on another credit arrangement); and all such funds or other assets are hereby frozen.

#### VI.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the above Paragraphs III, IV, and V shall immediately cease to apply to any asset located within the United States (including the Schwab Account, the Tastyworks Account, and/or any account held for the benefit of Defendants at any bank, brokerage firm, or other financial institution) which becomes subject to any later order entered by any federal court as a result of proceedings that may be filed by the United States or any department or agency thereof under any federal civil or criminal forfeiture statute, to the extent that such later order requires the transfer of any such asset to the United States government. In addition, no person or entity, including Defendants or any creditor or claimant against Defendants, or any person acting on behalf of such creditor or claimant, shall take any

action to interfere with the asset freeze, including, but not limited to, the filing of any lawsuits, liens, or encumbrances, or bankruptcy cases to impact the property and assets subject to this order; provided, however, that any party or non-party may seek leave from this order upon a proper showing.

## VII.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that, pending further order of this Court, the order barring the destruction of documents imposed by paragraph II of the Temporary Restraining Order shall continue in full force and effect.

### VIII.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that copies of this Order may be served by any means, including electronic mail, upon any entity or person that may have possession, custody, or control of any documents or assets of Defendants, that may be subject to any provision of this Order, and that representatives of the Commission are specially appointed by the Court to effect service.

#### IX.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall be, and is, binding on Defendants, and any of his agents or representatives and those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise.

#### X.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall remain in effect until entry of a Final Judgment in, or other final disposition of, this action.

XI.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for all purposes.

SO ORDERED, this // day of October 202/ at 4.10 clock f.m.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that mis

Order shall forthwith be served upon

the defendant and the relief defendant day

the means previously authorized by this Court

(ECF No. 20).